Approved For Release 12003/95/27 CIA RDB84-00780R00140e090015-4 2007 VIII 15

DD/S 66-6237

28 NOV 1966

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Personnel

SUBJECT

: Possibility of Pro-CIA Demonstrations

STAT

has advised me of the possibility of a pro-CIA demonstration rally at Brooklyn College when the recruiter visits there on 14 March 1966. I am concerned about this because it probably would result in more publicity, and, more importantly, such action might be estributed to the Agency by the press.

- 2. All recruiters should be advised at once to do or say nothing which might encourage pro-CIA demonstrations. Obviously, we do not want the word spread that we are attempting to exert influence, but in a quiet way, and when the occasion arises, the recruiters should let it be known that such demonstrations may hinder rather than help our recruitment activity.
- 3. If follow-up interviews with the seven applicants from Brooklyn College are conducted, they should be carried out with the shove guidance in mind. Please look into this matter and advise me of the action taken to event further publicity.

SIGNED R. L. Rannerman

R. L. Hannerman Deputy Director for Support

EO-DD/S: VRT/ms (28 Nov 66)

Distribution:

Orig & 1 - Adse

1 - DD/S Chrono

1 - DD/S Chrono
1 - DD/S Subject, w/Background (DD/S 66-6056)

"MC ECHOLS:
PLEASE CALL ME AFTEC YOU "-29-66"

Approved For Release 2003/05/27: CIA-RDP84-00780R001400090015-4

•	.001114	G AND	KECOK	D SHEET
SUBJECT: (Optional)		<del> </del>		
FROM:			EXTENSION	но.
Deputy Director for Support 7	D 26 H	QS		2 1 NOV 1966
TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)	DATE		OFFICER'S	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from w to whom. Draw a line across column after each comm
	RECEIVED	FORWARDED		
1 F US HQS				In reference to the attached
2. DD 5			<u></u>	I am concerned about the possibility of a pro-CIA demonstration at Brooklyn College. I think this could lead
3.				to difficulties and regardless of the sponsor of the demonstration it wo
4.				be presumed by most all concerned that we instigated it. While this is mentioned as possibly occurring in
5.				March of next year, the idea may generate at most any campus where
<b>6</b> .				we are having anti-demonstrations My reaction would be to discourage anything of this nature. Please let
7.				me know what you think so that we can take it up with the Executive
8.				Director and the Director.
9.				
10.				Att: Ltr dtd 28 Oct 66,
11.				
12.				
13.				
14.				
15.				